

ب

ح

	:	
1		1.1
3		2.1
4		3.1
5		4.1
5		5.1
6		6.1
	:	
11		1.2
23		2.2
	:	
33		1.3
33		2.3
34		3.3
34		4.3

 35
 5.3

 35
 6.3

 36
 7.3

 :
 :

 38
 1.4

 40
 2.4

 45
 3.4

 46
 51

٥

39 .1 () .2 42 () .3 42

و

51			
53			
56			
62			

- -

2010 –

_

: (0.05≥α) -1

 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ -2

()

(54.033) (70)

_

•

;

.

ζ

Abstract

The Impact of Brainstorming Strategy, as a strategy beyond Knowledge, in developing literacy appreciation among Students of First Secondary Class in Hail in KSA Saeed Al Shemmari

This study aims to explain The Impact of Brainstorming Strategy, as a strategy beyond Knowledge, in developing literacy appreciation among Students of First secondary Class in Hail in KSA.

The study had reached the following results:

- 1. No statistical significant variables at level $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ of the performance level on the pre-examination of the members of two groups (Control and Experimental).
- 2. There are statistical variables at level (0.05≥α) of the performance level of post-examination of the members of two groups (Control and Experimental). Based on the values of both groups averages, it appears that the average of experimental group performance is (70.000) and the average of control group performance is (54.033) which clearly indicates that the variables of performance are in favor of experimental group. This confirms the effectiveness of Brainstorming Strategy, as a strategy beyond Knowledge, in developing literacy appreciation among Students of First secondary Class.

The study recommends the following: Train Arabic language teachers of secondary class in Hail educational Region in KSA to employ the strategies beyond Knowledge in learning and educating students. Conduct another study to explain the impact of one strategy of beyond Knowledge strategies in developing skills of literacy appreciation among girls students. Developing the skills of educational supervisors in strategies beyond Knowledge to employ them in professional development process of teachers.

1.1

.(2008)

.(2007)

.(2009)

(2009)

.

.(2007)

(2000)

.
)
(

: **2.1**

·

_ _

·

: 3.1

-

· -

:

 $(\alpha \le 0.05) -1$

.

 $(\alpha \le 0.05)$

: **4.1** : -1

-2

-3

. -4

·

5.1

: -1

· : -2

.2010 /2009

. -3

. -4 : -5

: 6.1

· :

.(2001)

· :

.

: (2006)

: -1

· : -2

.) -3

.(

.

7

: -4

. -5

. -6 **(**) -7

: -8

: -9

9

-10

: -11

· : -12

·

1.2

(Flavell)

.(42 1999)

(Flavell, 1979)

(Flavell)

. (Costa, 2002)

```
(Anderson, 2002)
                                  ( 2007
                                 ( Rasnak)
(
                                       (Frer)
```

(1998)

:

: Metacognition

(Cox, 2005) :(Adkins,1996)

. (1

. (2

(3

(4

. (5

.(Fisher,2005)

:(Hacker,2005)

-1

-2 . (-3 (2007) _أ ج-.(2002) .(1999)

.(2007)

:
-1
-2
.(Blakey& Spence,1990)

.(2005)

() ()
.(2000,) ()
:

(2005) (2007) (2005)

-3

-4

: -5

(Howard et. A, 2001)

: -1

: -2

: -3

: -4

: -5

(2007) (1999) : (2005) (1998)

· : -

•

.1 .2 : .3 .4 .5

1

. (Osborn,2001,151-152) " (2009 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6

.(115 2002)

: (2009) .

: -1

: . 3

: . . . 4

. : .5

(2008 -1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9) (2008 (2009 .1 .2 .3 .(.4 .5 .6 .7 .8

12 .9 6 .10 .11 .12 .13 2.2 (2001) (78)

. (2001)

(23) 12) (12.5 11) (11

. (1989)

(0.001)

(0.001)

<u>:</u>

·

:

(0.001)

(2003)

(74)

(2007)

(60)

. (30) . . . (30)

.

(0.05)

(67)

.(

(2008 (60) (0.05)(0.05)(0.05)(0.05) ((2009 (66)

.

(2002)

(639)

(2003)

(8) (199)

(2006)

(70)

(2006)

(30) (6) (167) (10) (6)

. (2007)

: (28) (14)

: .

():

(2008) () (131)

(2008)

(40) :

· :

(2009)

(1278) (30) (30) (60) 2009

·

.1) (2007) (2008) (2009) (2003

.2

.(2001) (2001) .3

(2008) (2009)) (2007) (2008)

.(2006

-1

-2

: 1.3

. (650) 2010/2009

3.3 (21) (95) ((3) (30) (30) 4.3 - 1 .() -2 .() -3 .()

: 5.3

(6)

.

: **6.3** · · ·

.

______ =

%85

: : :

(T-Retest)

. (0.91)

: **7.3** -1

-2

-3

:

(10)

(SPSS) -4

-5 (T-test)

: 1**.4**

-

-

:

; :

n

: (1)

(1)

9.77	13		1
9.77	13		2
9.0	12		. 3
9.0	12		4
9.0	12		. 5
8.27	11		6
8.27	11 .		7
7.51	10)	8
			(
6.76	9		. 9
6.76	9 .		10
6.015	8		11
6.015	8		12
3.75	5		. 13
%100	133		

(1) (133)

п

(%9.77) (13) .

п

.%9.0 (12)

" "

" %6.015 (8) " .%3.75 (5) "

: **2.4**

•

(133)

n .

(2009 (2007 (2003) .((2) (T-test)

```
(2)
                                                                  ( )
                   ( )
                                          2.41324
                                                        54.4667
 0.715
              0.366
                              58
                                          3.16390
                                                        53.0667
                                      (2)
(0.715)
                                      (0.366)
                                                                  ( )
    (0.05 \ge \alpha)
                (T-test)
                                            (2)
                                      (3)
                                                                   ( )
                                       (
                 ( )
                4.37
                                             3.91600
                                                            70.0000
  0.00
                              58
                                                            54.0333
                                             4.34665
```

(3) () (0.00)(4.37) $(0.05≥\alpha)$) (54.033) (70,000) $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ (2009 (2001

43

(2009)

44

(2003

(0.05)

: **3.4**

-1

. -2

-3

-3 . -4

 $\underline{\text{http://www.abegs.org/sites/Research/DocLib2/Forms/AllItems.aspx}} : \\ .(2009)$

: .(1998) . 6 " .(1999)

1 .(1999)

1 (2002)

.(2007)

.95 -57

.(2009) .(2008) (2002) .(2007) .(2007) .(2003) 388 ..(2003)

.http://www.alnayfat.net/vb/t8501.html:

.(2006)

.(2001) : (2006) (2002) 2 .(2005) .(9-7) .(2000) 3 .40-1 - (4) .(2001) (2) .(2007)

48

.(2008) .(2009) . 490 -465 .(2003) .(2006). .(2007) .(2005) $. \underline{http://www.infpe.edu.dz/publication/index.htm}$.(2001)

49

.111 -69 -

13-11

() . (2003)

http://www.watfa.net/reading.htm2:

: -

- Adkins, J.(1996.) **Metacognition: Designing for Transfer** Available on::http://www.usask.ca/education/coursework/802papers/Adkins/ADKINS.PDF.
- Anderson, N.J.(2002)The Roles of Metacognition in Second Language Teaching and Tearning.(ERIC Document ED463659).
- Blakey, E. & Spence, S. (1990) **Developing Metacognition**. (ERI Document ED 399 704).
- Costa , A . L . (2002) **Teaching For Intelligence Recognizing and encouraging skillful thinking and behavior** available on. http://www.context.org/ICLIB/IC18/Costa.htm
- Cox, M. T., (2005) Metacognition in Computation: A Selected History available on: http://www.cs.umd.edu/~anderson/MIC/.
- Fisher, R. (2005) **Thinking Skills**. Available on: http://www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/thinkingskills/.
- Flavell, J.H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new of cognitive development inquiry. **American Psychologist, fall** 2003 (34), pp. 906 911.
- Hacke, D. J. (2005) Metacognition: Definitions and Empirical Foundations. The University of Memphis, Available on: http://www.psyc.memphis.edu/trg/meta.htm
- Howard, Bruce c.; McGee, Steven; Shia, Regina; Hong, Namsoo Shin (2001). The influence of Metacognitive Self- Regulation and Ability Levels on Problem Solving. **The Annual Meeting of the Southwest Educational Research Association** (Seattl, WA, April 12-14, 2001), pp. 2-8.
- Osborn, A,(2001) applied Imagination Prin Ciples And Proced Ures of Creative problem solving,3rd ed, Charles Scribner's Some, united states of America,

()

		.1
		.2
		.3
_		.4
		.5
		.6

()

:

-

•

.

: -1

. -2

. –4

:

		1
	•	
		2
		3
		4
		5
		6
	•	7
		8
)	9
	,	
	(
		10
		11
		12
		12
	·	13

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•			
•				•		•	•	•		•				•					•					•			•	•											•	•					•	•	•	•	•	•			•			•		•	•						•	•	•	•		•	•			•	•	
														•																																												•	•																	

()

:

_ _

. -1 . -2

. -4

:

. -1 -2 -4 -6

-7 -8 -10 -11

-12 -13 -15 -16 . -. -: -17

--

. -

: -18 . -

> . -. -: -19

> . -. -_

· -

_

()

:

. -. -

· - :

: -1

. •

· : . *

.

: . £

: .5

.

.7

1 1

. () .8 . .9

.11 .12 .13 .14 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .10 .11 .12